UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

MANUFACTURERS REPRESENTATIVES INC.,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:12-cv-749

Dlott, C.J. Bowman, M.J.

VS.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.

Defendant.

ORDER

On September 28, 2012, Manufacturers Representatives Incorporated ("MRI") initiated this action by filing a complaint with jury demand against the Internal Revenue Service. (Doc. 1). The complaint was signed by Henry Carota, the President of MRI. Thus, it appears that Mr. Carota is attempting to bring this action on behalf of MRI rather than himself as an individual.

It is well-settled that a corporation, partnership, or association cannot appear in federal court except through an attorney. *See Rowland v. California Men's Colony*, 506 U.S. 194, 202 (1993). *See also* Doherty v. American Motors Corp., 728 F.2d 334, 340 (6th Cir. 1984). There is no indication that Mr. Carota is an attorney licensed to practice law. Furthermore, it is insufficient that the person attempting to represent the corporation is an officer of the corporation. *Reich v. Pierce*, 45 F.3d 431, 1194 WL 709292, at *4, n.1 (6th Cir. Dec. 20, 1994) (citing *Ginger v. Cohen*, 426 F.2d 1385, 1386 (6thCir. 1970)); *accord Kinder Capital, Inc. v. Unity Community*, 85 F.3d 629, 1996 WL 229819, at *1 (6th Cir. May 6, 1996). Thus, Mr. Carota cannot represent the corporation

Case: 1:12-cv-00749-SJD-SKB Doc #: 5 Filed: 10/04/12 Page: 2 of 2 PAGEID #: 38

in this case.

Plaintiff MRI must secure counsel to represent it in this case within twenty-one

(21) days of the filing date of this Order, and counsel must enter an appearance on

behalf of Plaintiff MRI within that time. Failure to comply with the terms of this Order will

result in a Report and Recommendation to the District Judge that this case be

dismissed as a result of MRI's failure to appear in this matter through counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Stephanie K. Bowman

Stephanie K. Bowman

United States Magistrate Judge

2